

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

#

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/681,454	04/10/2001	Farshid Attarian	41PR-7785	4733		
6152	7590 08/11/2003		٠.			
PATENT OPERATION			EXAMINER			
41 WOODFO			DONOVAN, I	DONOVAN, LINCOLN D		
PLAINVILLE	, CT 06062		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2832			
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	ì			$\mathcal{M}$			
•		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/681,454		ATTARIAN ET AL.			
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Donovan Lincoln		2832			
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	his communication appo	ears on the cover	sheet with th c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of - If the period for reply specified above is I - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extended - Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 of  Status	communication.  er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication.  ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period wid period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing.	6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min ill apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
1)⊠ Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on 27 M	lay 2003 .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is closed in accordance w Disposition of Claims	s in condition for allowa with the practice under $E$	nce except for fo Ex <i>parte Quayle</i> ,	ormal matters, pr 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	ne merits is		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are per	nding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are all							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are reje							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subj		election require	ment.				
9) ☐ The specification is object	ted to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on _			ed to by the Exai	miner.			
Applicant may not reques	t that any objection to the	drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a	and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is mad	le of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)	None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of	the priority documents	have been rece	eived.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
a) ☐ The translation of th 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made		* *					
Attachment(s)		-					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav     Information Disclosure Statement(s)	wing Review (PTO-948)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Act	ion Summary		Part of Paper No. 6			

Art Unit: 2832

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeya et al. [JP56-67915] in view of Burns [US 3,621,334].

Regarding claims 9 and 11, Takeya et al. discloses a current sensor comprising:

- a c-shaped magnetic core [figure 3];
- at least one first layer of material [5] having a relatively high magnetic permeability;
- at least one second layer of material [6] having a relatively low magnetic permeability;
- an opening [figures 3-4] therethrough for accepting a current conductor; and
- a gap [10] formed within the core [figure 8].

Takeya et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for a magnetic flux sensor being mounted within the gap.

Burns discloses a c-shaped core [30] used with a current sensor having a hall-type magnetic field sensor [32] mounted in the gap.

Art Unit: 2832

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to include a magnetic field sensor in the gap of Takeya et al., as suggested by Burns, for the purpose of detecting current imbalance.

Regarding claim 12, Takeya et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for the core profile being a figure 8 having spaced opposed gap faces in the central leg defining an air gap therebetween with the magnetic flux sensor being disposed therein.

Burns further discloses a core design having a figure 8 profile [figure 4] with spaced opposed gap faces in the central leg defining an air gap therebetween with the magnetic flux sensor [77] being disposed therein.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the figure 8 profile for the core of Takeya et al., as suggested by Burns, for the purpose of canceling off stray magnetic fields and/or controlling sensitivity.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeya et al., as modified, as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Berkcan et al. [US 6,018,239].

Takeya et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for a secondary winding being mounted on a bobbin about one of the legs of the core.

Berkcan et al. discloses a core [70] for a current sensor [figure 1] having windings [78] mounted on bobbins [72] mounted on the core legs.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use bobbins to mount windings for the core of Takeya et al., as

Art Unit: 2832

modified, for the purpose of providing support for the windings on the leg and provide current sensing.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeya et al., as modified, as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Smith [US 5,495,169].

Takeya et al., as modified, disclose the instant claimed invention except for the specific materials used for the core.

Smith discloses a core [16, 31] for a current sensor being formed of NiFe.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use NiFe for the core of Takeya et al., as suggested by Smith, for the purpose of providing good magnetic flux density.

Smith discloses that various nickel percentages can be used within the core structure to control the magnetic flux density and permeability [column 6, lines 17-38].

The specific percentage of nickel used in each of the core sections would have been an obvious design consideration based on the desired operating characteristics.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donovan Lincoln whose telephone number is 703 308-3111. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1920.

Art Unit: 2832

ldd August 5, 2003

> ONCOLA PORTIVANI PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2100